Entered - 08/28/00 - sb CL00L0499 - DIANNE C. MITCHELL

CLAIM OF: THE FAMILY OF ALVENO HORTON, deceased,

through their attorney,

Jodi S. Dick Suite 400

235 Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30303

For damages alleged to have been sustained as a result of the wrongful death of Alveno Horton on February 19, 2000 at Roy and Coleman Streets.

THIS ADVERSED REPORT IS APPROVED

Y: pouruic

ROSALIND RUBENS NEWELI DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

DEPARTMENT OF LAW - CLAIM INVESTIGATION SUMMARY

Claim No. <u>00L0499</u>	Date: October 19, 2000
Claimant Wistim	THE FAMILY OF ALVENO HORTON, deceased
	Jodi S. Dick
Address.	Suite 400, 235 Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Subrogation:	Claim for Property damage \$ Bodily Injury \$Not Stated
Date of Notice: 08/2	21/00 Method: Written, proper X Improper
Conforms to Notice: (O.C.G.A. §36-33-5 X Ante Litem (6 Mo.) X
Date of Occurrence	02/19/00 Place: Roy and Coleman Streets
Department Police	Division:
Employee involved	Division: Officer A. C. Smith Disciplinary Action: None
NATURE OF CLAIM	: The claimant's alleges that their family member was wrongfully killed during an altercation
	An investigation by the Office of Professional Standards found no evidence to support any
violation of the Police	e Rules by Officer Smith. Furthermore, the City is immune from liability as set forth in
O.C.G.A. §36-33-3.	
INVESTIGATION:	
a	77.14. Oct 1
Statements: City em	ployee Claimant Others Written Oral agrams Reports: Police _X Dept Report Other
Pictures Dia	agrams Reports: Police _X Dept Report Other
Traffic citations issue	d: City Driver Claimant Driver
Citation disposition:	City Driver Claimant Driver
BASIS OF RECOM	MENDATION.
DASIS OF RECOMI	WENDATION.
Function: Governmen	ntal X Ministerial
Improper Notice	ntal X Ministerial Damages reasonable Other X Damages reasonable
City not involved	Offer rejected Compromise settlement
Repair/replacement by	v Ins. Co. Repair/replacement by City Forces
Claimant Negligent	Offer rejected Compromise settlement y Ins. Co Repair/replacement by City Forces City Negligent Joint Claim Abandaned
	Respectfully submitted,
	$\langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle \langle \langle \langle \rho \rangle \rangle $
	Muslanden
	NVESTIGATOR - DIANNE C. MITCHELL
RECOMMENDATI	ON:/
	× / / /
Pay \$	Adverse X // Account charged: 1A01 2J01 2H01
Claims Manager:	Muse Cu Will Concur/date
Committee Action: _	Council Action
i /	

FORM 23-61

ATTORNEY AT LAW

SUITE 400 235 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

TELEPHONE: 404-524-0966 FACSIMILE: 404-524-7732 VOICE MAIL: 770-734-7769

August 15, 2000

ENTERED - 8-28-00 - SB00L0499 - DIANNE MITCHELL

 $\left\{\cdot\right\}$

Certified Mail No.70993400000832933576 City of Atlanta c/o Honorable Bill Campbell 68 Mitchell St. S.W., Suite 2400 Atlanta, Ga. 30335

()

Certified Mail No. 70993400000832933569 City of Atlanta c/o Robert L. Pitts Pres., Atlanta City Council 55 Trinity Ave. Atlanta, Ga. 30335

Dear Mayor Campbell and Mr. Pitts:

Kimberly Dymecki and I represent the family of Alveno Horton in regard to claims of assault and battery, wrongful death and excessive use of force (and whatever additional claims we determine to be appropriate) against certain City of Atlanta Police Officers, the City of Atlanta Police Department and the City of Atlanta. This notice is being provided to you pursuant to the provisions of the Official Code of Ga. Ann. §36-33-5 for the purpose of advising you of our factual allegations and to give you an early opportunity to resolve these claims.

Alveno Horton was a 34 year old black male who was shot and killed by APD Officer Anthony Smith on or about February 19, 2000 at approximately 1:30 a.m. According to the police report prepared by Officer Smith, Officer Smith was chasing Mr. Horton on foot (in the vicinity of Roy Street and Coleman Street in the City of Atlanta) because he had fled from an alleged hit and run accident nearby. After Mr. Horton was apprehended, Officer Smith reported that "the suspect attempted to grab my weapon and being in fear of my life, a round was discharged." A copy of this report is attached.

We are still in the process of investigating this claim and had hoped to have obtained much more information by this time. We have received reports from the GBI and we have been awaiting the receipt of the Internal Affairs report prepared by APD (OPS), which was requested some time ago. However, in order to comply with the time restrictions imposed by O.C.G.A. 36-33-5, we are forwarding this notice to you now for consideration.

Mayor Campbell / Robert Pitts August 15, 2000 Page 2

We believe that the officer involved in this matter used excessive force and either (1) failed to follow police procedures including, but not limited to use and handling of firearms and the use of deadly force or (2) was inadequately trained on the use of non deadly force and proper techniques when facing a situation as set forth herein. Because we have not yet been able to interview Officer Smith, we have not been able to determine exactly why he acted in the manner in which he did.

The within notice relating to the negligence of the City of Atlanta and its police force in failing to adequately train its police officers on proper techniques to be used in securing a suspect and alternatives to the use of deadly force is being provided to you with the understanding that you shall consider and act upon this claim within thirty (30) days from the date of its presentation, after which time my clients, on behalf of Mr. Horton, will be allowed by law to institute appropriate action for recovery of damages sustained as a result of this incident.

The anticipated legal action that will be brought on behalf of Mr. Horton will allege, among other things, that the police officers and personnel in question acted in a reckless and grossly negligent manner in the manner in which they ultimately seized Mr. Horton (and killed him) and that the Atlanta Police Department and Chief of Police were negligent in the manner in which officers were trained to deal with situation like the one facing Officer Smith. Any action that we file on behalf of Mr. Horton will include, but will not be limited to, federal claims presented under 42 U.S.C. §1983 as well as pendent state negligence claims relating to assault and battery and wrongful death of Mr. Horton (and any other claims proved by the evidence in the case), which first must be preceded by the within notice.

We would be happy to discuss settlement with you.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Jodi S. Dick

enclosures